

REMARKS

In the *Ex parte Quayle* Office Action mailed July 18, 2007, Claims 1-33 and 39-48 are allowed, while Claims 34-38 are objected to as being in improper multiple-dependent form. In response, applicant has amended Claim 34 to remove its dependency from Claims 12 and 14, which themselves are multiple-dependent claims. As amended, Claim 34 depends only from Claim 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, or 8, none of which are multiple-dependent claims, and therefore Claim 34 is now in proper form. As a result, Claims 35-38, which all depend from amended Claim 34, are also in proper form.

Based on the foregoing, the present application is believed to be in condition for allowance. If the Examiner should have any further issues to resolve, he is invited to telephone applicant's undersigned attorney at the number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR
JOHNSON KINDNESS^{PLLC}



Shoko I. Leek
Registration No. 43,746
Direct Dial No. 206.695.1780

SIL:cg